

Menachos – Simanim

פרק ד - התכלת

דף נא – Daf 51

1. Source that חביתיו כהן גדול require three לוגין of שמן

A Baraisa *darshens*: "בשמן" – *with oil* (which is superfluous, since the *passuk* says it is fried on a מחבת, and would require a לוג of oil like any מנחת מחבת לה שמן, (מנחת מחבת כהן גדול) – להוסיף לה שמן, the extra word comes to *add oil onto it* beyond the standard amount, but does not indicate how much. Rebbe Yishmael the son of Rebbe Yochanan ben Berokah says that the *passuk*, "סלת מנחה תמיד" – *fine flour as a minchah continually*, compares it to the *minchah* brought with the *korban tamid*: just as the מנחת תמיד requires three לוגין of oil, so too the חביתיו כהן גדול require three לוגין of oil. Rebbe Shimon says: שמן כאן שמן – ריבה כאן שמן – [the Torah] *increased the oil required here* for חביתיו (based on the superfluous "בשמן"), (תמיד) and [the Torah] *increased the oil required for the minchah of the lambs* (i.e., the תמיד) beyond the standard לוג of oil for an עשרון of flour. Just as the תמיד requires three לוגין of oil for an עשרון of flour, so too חביתיו require three לוגין of oil for their עשרון. We do not derive from a *minchah* of פרים and אילים to require two לוגין of oil per עשרון, because חביתיו are more similar to מנחת תמיד, which is likewise one עשרון of flour, as opposed to the מנחה of פרים and אילים, which are multiple עשרונות.

2. Who funds the חביתיו when a new Kohen Gadol was not appointed?

The next Mishnah states that if no Kohen Gadol was appointed in place of a Kohen Gadol who died, משל מי היתה – *from* [whose funds] were the חביתיו brought? Rebbe Shimon says it was brought משל ציבור – *from the funds of the community*, and Rebbe Yehudah says משל יורשין – *from the [Kohen Gadol's] heirs*. These חביתיו were brought whole (i.e., the entire עשרון was offered). Rebbe Yehudah derives his opinion from the *passuk*: והכהן המשיח תחתיו: והכהן המשיח תחתיו: *and if the anointed Kohen [dies], in his place, from [one of] his sons, he shall make it*. They do not bring it in halves, like the Kohen Gadol did, because the *passuk* says "אותה" – *it*, implying they bring חציה ולא כולה – *all of it, not half of it*. Rebbe Shimon *darshens* עולם – חק עולם – *an eternal law to teach עולם* – it is funded *from the community* (when there is no Kohen Gadol).

3. Two תקנות according to Rebbe Shimon re: the funding of the חביתיו כהן גדול

The Gemara asks that Rebbe Shimon's *derashah* in the Baraisa, which implies that the חביתיו were funded (when there is no Kohen Gadol) from the community מדאורייתא, is contradicted by a Mishnah, in which Rebbe Shimon lists seven תקנות, including: ותנאי בי"ד הוא – *it is a stipulation of Beis Din* that אחר תחתיו – *if a Kohen Gadol dies, and they did not appoint another Kohen in his place*, שתהא מנחתו קריבה משל ציבור – *that his minchah (of חביתיו) should be brought by communal funds*. This proves that it is a Rabbinical enactment!?! Rebbe Avahu answers that there were two תקנות: מדאורייתא, they were funded from the ציבור, but during the second Beis Hamikdash (when many unworthy Kohanim Gedolim purchased the position, and did not survive a full year), Beis Din saw it was draining on the funds of the לשכה, they enacted that the יורשים should fund the חביתיו. Later, when they saw the יורשים were negligent in paying for it, they restored the Biblical law for the ציבור to fund the חביתיו (and this is the "תקנה" to which Rebbe Shimon referred).

Siman – Beaver (Gnaw)

While the community reminisced about **how the Kohen Gadol used to use beaver skin pouches to bring the three לוגין of oil to the Bais Hamikdash for his מנחת חביתיו**, the Rav who declared **that התורה מן התורה since a new Kohen Gadol has not yet been appointed the צבור should be paying for the חביתיו** was interrupted by another Rav in a **beaver hat** who said **since this is happening way too often the יורשים must now pay**.

דף נא | DAF 51

Beaver (Gnaw)



While the community reminisced about how the Kohen Gadol used to use **beaver** skin pouches to bring the three **לוגין** of oil to the Bais Hamikdash for his מנחת חביתין, the Rav who declared that **מן התורה** since a new Kohen Gadol has not yet been appointed the **צבור** should be paying for the חביתין was interrupted by another Rav in a **beaver** hat who said since this is happening way too often the **יורשים** must now pay.

3 things to remember

1. Source that **כהן גדול** require three **לוגין** of שמן
2. Who funds the חביתין when a new Kohen Gadol was not appointed?
3. Two תקנות according to Rebbe Shimon re: the funding of the חביתין כהן גדול

